

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/804,168             | SCHEFFEL, KLAUS     |  |

|                  |                 |  |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b>  | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| JASON M. PERILLA | 2611            |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Jason M. Perilla.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Alene Neal.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 April 2008

**Time:** 10am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17-20, 26, 28-31, 33, 34, and 36.*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)